

Report on Research Compliance Volume 20, Number 12. November 23, 2023

In This Month's E-News: December 2023

By Theresa Defino

◆ Although the National Science Foundation (NSF) allowed more than half the costs questioned by auditors for its Office of Inspector General (OIG), the California Institute of Technology (Caltech) ended up paying NSF more than OIG flagged—due to other costs Caltech itself identified later. The audit covered costs from March 1 to Sept. 30, 2020. Auditors tested approximately \$170,000 of the more than \$54.9 million of costs claimed to NSF during that period. According to the audit resolution report recently posted online, NSF allowed \$31,856 in subaward charges auditors said were “unapproved” because Caltech did not request prior approval from NSF. The agency found the costs were incurred under an agreement that was “more closely aligned with a contract,” versus a subaward agreement, and thus no prior approval was required. This is the argument Caltech made in response to draft audit findings. However, NSF agreed with the auditors—and disagreed with Caltech—that \$16,351 in salary expenses were not allowed. These were charged roughly one month after the expiration of the Office of Management and Budget’s (OMB) memorandum that allowed certain flexibilities during the pandemic. In response to the draft audit findings, Caltech maintained the salaries were permissible because “it was unreasonable for OMB to have discontinued the flexibilities...without a retirement period” and that OMB should have defined the phrase “exhaust other available funding sources.”

Auditors had also flagged \$1,515 in inaccurately applied indirect costs—an amount that grew. According to the audit resolution report, “Caltech reviewed the ledgers for the two awards in question and returned additional funds considered unallowable in the amount of \$38,302 which was refunded to NSF. Based on CalTech’s concurrence, NSF’s management decision is to disallow total questioned costs of \$39,817.” Overall, NSF required Caltech to repay \$57,167. Caltech’s audit was one of 10 OIG auditors conducted to assess institutions’ compliance with OMB’s flexibilities during COVID-19. (11/16/23)

◆ Recognizing that the growing use of digital technologies in research may be accompanied by challenges in obtaining informed consent, NIH is seeking comment on “sample language and accompanying considerations developed for use in informed consent documents for digital health technologies utilized in research” that it recently issued. NIH defined digital health technologies as “wearable devices, sensor technologies, and mobile software applications (‘apps’) most often used with tablets, watches, or phones,” and noted that the resource it issued “does not address considerations for implantable devices, artificial intelligence, or other types of digital health technologies.”

This document is only available to subscribers. Please log in or purchase access.

[Purchase Login](#)