

40 C.F.R. § 53.35

Test procedure for Class II and Class III methods for PM 2.5 and PM -2.5.

- (a) Overview. Class II and Class III candidate equivalent methods shall be tested for comparability of PM $_{2.5}$ or PM $_{10-2.5}$ measurements to corresponding collocated PM $_{2.5}$ or PM $_{10-2.5}$ reference method measurements at each of multiple field sites, as required. Comparability is shown for the candidate method when simultaneous collocated measurements made by candidate and reference methods meet the comparability requirements specified in this section § 53.35 and in table C-4 of this subpart at each of the required test sites.
- (b) *Test sites and seasons*. A summary of the test site and seasonal testing requirements is presented in table C-5 of this subpart.
- (1) *Test sites.* Comparability testing is required at each of the applicable U.S. test sites required by this paragraph (b). Each test site must also meet the general test site requirements specified in § 53.30(b).
- (i) PM2.5Class II and Class III candidate methods. Test sites should be chosen to provide representative chemical and meteorological characteristics with respect to nitrates, sulfates, organic compounds, and various levels of temperature, humidity, wind, and elevation. For Class III methods, one test site shall be selected in each of the following four general locations (A, B, C, and D). For Class II methods, two test sites, one western site (A or B) and one midwestern or eastern site (C or D), shall be selected from these locations.
- (A) Test site A shall be in the Los Angeles basin or California Central Valley area in a location that is characterized by relatively high PM $_{2.5}$, nitrates, and semi-volatile organic pollutants.
- (B) Test site B shall be in a western city such as Denver, Salt Lake City, or Albuquerque in an area characterized by cold weather, higher elevation, winds, and dust.
- (C) Test site C shall be in a midwestern city characterized by substantial temperature variation, high nitrates, and wintertime conditions.
- (D) Test site D shall be in a northeastern or mid-Atlantic city that is seasonally characterized by high sulfate concentrations and high relative humidity.
- (ii) $PM10-2.5Class\ II\ and\ Class\ III\ candidate\ methods$. Test sites shall be chosen to provide modest to high levels of $PM_{10-2.5}$ representative of locations in proximity to urban sources of $PM_{10-2.5}$ such as high-density traffic on paved roads, industrial sources, and construction activities. For Class III methods, one test site shall be selected in each of the four following general locations (A, B, C, and D), and at least one of the test sites shall have characteristic wintertime temperatures of 0 °C or lower. For Class II methods, two test sites, one western site (A or B) and one midwestern or eastern site (C or D), shall be selected from these locations.

- (A) Test site A shall be in the Los Angeles basin or the California Central Valley area in a location that is characterized by relatively high PM _{2.5}, nitrates, and semi-volatile organic pollutants.
- (B) Test site B shall be in a western city characterized by a high ratio of $PM_{10-2.5}$ to $PM_{2.5}$, with exposure to windblown dust, such as Las Vegas or Phoenix.
- (C) Test site C shall be in a midwestern city characterized by substantial temperature variation, high nitrates, and wintertime conditions.

This document is only available to subscribers. Please log in or purchase access.

Purchase Login